

Top 5 Issues that Cannot be Resolved by DBAs (other than missed bind variables)



Michael Rosenblum Dulcian, Inc. www.dulcian.com



Who Am I? - "Misha"

♦ Oracle ACE Expert PL/SOL Practices Co-author of 2 books > *PL/SQL* for *Dummies* > Expert PL/SQL Practices • Won ODTUG 2009 Speaker of the Year Known for: > SQL and PL/SQL tuning

- SQL and PL/SQL tuning
- Complex functionality
 - Code generators
 - Repository-based development



Oracle PL/SQL

DUMMIES

Rest of Us

Houston, we have a problem!

Common thought process:

- > Our IT system has an new issue... OMG!
- > Production code should not be touched (scary!)
- > DBAs should be able to "do something."

Reasoning:

- Configuration of the database is NOT considered production code.
- DBAs are usually on staff, while the majority of developers are contractors.
- In the Oracle universe, DBAs are considered to be the most knowledgeable.





Black Hawk Down...

♦ Results:

- Significant system architectural problems are covered up using tactical bug-fixes.
- Systems become even less maintainable and more fragile (I've seen 11g systems with RBO still enabled!)
- Architects and developers become lazy. They expect DBAs to adjust everything afterwards.
- DBAs become frustrated and remove all privileges from developers.







Yes, there are problems that DBAs cannot fix.
No, I will NOT talk about bind variables ⁽²⁾
But I will discuss:
Problems usually passed to DBAs
Correct solutions of those problems
Potential workarounds in cases when a real fix is indeed impossible.

indeed impossible





Personal Top 5 Non-DBA issues

- Architect's mistakes:
 - ▶ 1. "Smart" columns
 - ≻ 2. "STUFF" table
 - > 3. "Insufficient" hierarchical structures
- Oeveloper's mistakes:
 - > 4. Datatype misuse
 - > 5. Misuse of user-defined functions





Issue 1: "Smart" Columns





Column vs. Attribute

♦ Column

- > Represents a single logical attribute
- > Does not make sense if split
- - > Has internal structure



> May even change meaning depending upon the data

Reasons for use:

- Save time when querying closely related data elements
- > Avoid changes to table structures

Example of "Smart" Columns (1)

Organization rollup

- > Pipe-delimited combination of Region/State/City/Zip
- Why is it a problem?
 - > Adding extra level to rollup is an extremely challenging task.
 - > Search is very expensive.
- What should be done:
 - > Split smart columns
 - > Aggregate them back using either virtual columns or views



Example of "Smart" Columns (2)

- Answers on questionnaires:
 - Single text line where number of characters = number of questions: "YYYNNNNYYNY"
- Why is it a problem?
 - Versioning of question sets could cause data corruption.
- What should be done:
 - > High-quality version control
 - Function-based indexes for the most frequently referenced questions





Issue 2: "STUFF" Table





Over-Generalization Trap

- Reasons for generic solutions:
 - > Changes are costly.



- > We feel "protected" against the future.
- Generic models are "cool" (especially now with the Big Data movement)
- ♦ BUT
 - Generic solutions often mask incomplete understanding of subject area.
 - Generic solutions in one area could cause major issues in others.



Almost Totally Useless Generic Model





Why is it a bad idea?

♦ Data entry:

- > Uses a lot of operations to retrieve a single object
- > Data quality is hard to enforce.
- ♦ Data retrieval
 - > Indexes are useless.
 - > CBO goes crazy.



- Performance is sporadic and does not follow any meaningful logic.
- Functional complex reporting is impossible.



Although...

- There are cases when key-value stores are perfect (NoSQL environments)
- ♦ BUT they should not be mixed with:
 - > OLTP solutions when high data quality is required
 - > Heavy reporting workload
- What could be done:
 - Storage is cheap. Create duplicate structures that would look like real tables



Issue 3: Insufficient Hierarchical Structures





Good Idea/Bad Implementation

Recursion

- > Powerful modeling technique
- Can be used for a number of reasons
 - Linked lists (e.g. contract versions)



- Storage of tree structures (e.g. organizational hierarchy)
- ♦ BUT
 - Storage mechanisms are wrong, which causes a lot of issues



Pseudo-Recursion Trap

Real recursion



• "Kind of"-recursion





Why is it a trap?

- Reasons why people do it:Versioning
 - > Historical data
 - > Reporting purposes
- Why it is challenging:



- > Hierarchical data consistency is not enforced.
- > Timing can be very easily be off.
- What should be done:
 - > Very strict data quality checking!
 - > Denormalized data sources for querying



Issue 4: Datatype Misuse





Datatypes as Constraints (1)

Datatypes ARE parts of metadata

- > Oracle uses them to make a lot of decisions about execution plans.
- > Wrong datatypes often mean wrong Explain Plans.
- > Wrong datatypes open possibilities for corrupted data.

What should be done:

- > Fix datatypes as much as possible.
- Use views/virtual columns to separate storage and representation.
- Worst case Add check constraints to at least enforce data quality.



Date vs. Varchar2 (1)

Problem:

storing DATE as VARCHAR2 (~ YYYYMMDD)

Reasons of issues

- Date range {December 31, 2012 to January 1, 2013} consists of only two distinct date values
- The textual range {'20121231','20130101'} is huge. Since it is text, starting with the 4th character there could be any valid character in the current charset.

♦ Result:

- Column-level statistics are not utilized and indexes are often ignored.
- What could be done:
 - Build virtual column (TO_DATE) and let developers use it.



Date vs Varchar2(2)

```
create table misha_date01
as
select owner, object_name,
     to_char(created,'YYYYMMDD') created_tx,
     created created_dt
from dba_objects
```

begin

dbms_stats.gather_table_stats(user, 'MISHA_DATE01');
end;



Date vs Varchar2 (3)

SQL> explain plan for 2 select * 3 from misha date01 where created tx between '20121231' and '20130101'; 4 Explained. SQL> select * from table(dbms xplan.display()); PLAN TABLE OUTPUT 48100 | 2113K| 299 (1) | $\left(\right)$ SELECT STATEMENT 1 | TABLE ACCESS FULL| MISHA DATE01 | 48100 | 2113K| | * 299 (1)SQL> explain plan for 2 select * Full table scan <u>3 from misha date01</u> where created dt between to date('20121231', 'YYYYMMDD') 4 5 and to date('20130101','YYYYMMDD'); Explained. SQL> select * from table(dbms xplan.display()); $\left(\right)$ SELECT STATEMENT 212 | 9540 212 9540 TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID | MISHA DATE01 INDEX RANGE SCAN | MISHA DATE DT IDX | 212 2 24 of 43 Index is used!



Implicit datatype conversion

- Implicit datatype conversion is EVIL!
 - Security nightmare
 - > A lot of confusion everywhere:
 - Statistics
 - Execution Plans
 - Overload calls





Number vs Varchar2

SQL> explain plan for select * from misha date01

2 where created tx = **20121231**;

SQL> select * from table(dbms_xplan.display());

Id Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost	(%CPU)		
0 SELECT STATEMENT * 1 TABLE ACCESS FULL	MISHA_DATE01	573 573	25785 25785	300 300) (1)) (1)		
<pre>SQL> explain plan for select * from misha_date01 2 where created_tx = '20121231'; SQL> select * from table(dbms_xplan.display());</pre>							
Id Operation	Nam	e		Rows	Bytes		
0 SELECT STATEMENT 1 TABLE ACCESS BY IN * 2 INDEX RANGE SCAN	 IDEX ROWID MIS MIS	HA_DATE01 HA_DATE_1	 TX_IDX	573 573 573	25785 25785 		



Issue 5: Misuse of User-Defined Functions









- PL/SQL functions as a part of SQL can cause a lot of side effects.
 - > Cost of SQL to PL/SQL context switch is very high.
 - Depending upon the execution plan, the same function could be called different numbers of times for the same SQL statement.
- What could be done:
 - Make sure that the CBO takes into account the impact of PL/SQL functions on the overall cost.
 - > Manage the total number of calls.



Problem Areas/Solutions

OO-like get/set APIs

PL/SQL functions in SELECT and WHERE clauses

- > Managing execution order
 - Short-circuit evaluation
 - Statistics-based cost

> Decreasing total number of function calls

- Scalar sub-query caching
- RESULT_CACHE

 In-line views based on PL/SQL functions returning nested tables



OO-like thinking

 People are accustomed to GET/SET APIs for every attribute

> Real story of 1 insert into table with 100 attributes

- 1 insert with only PK column
- 99 updates using PK
- System collapsed under its own weight because of thousands of roundtrips
- What could be done:
 - train your developers to NOT use JAVA-style coding in PL/SQL development



PL/SQL functions inside of SQL

- The CBO is not psychic and cannot figure out what is going on inside of your PL/SQL function.
- UNLESS you tell it using associated statistics, because Oracle defaults are not perfect:
 - ➢ Selectivity − 1% (0.01)
 - ▶ CPU cost 3000
 - > I/O cost 0
 - > Network cost 0
- There are two ways of doing it:
 - Simple way
 - Associate statistics with
 - functions <function name>
 - Default selectivity <value>
 - Default cost (<CPU>,<IO>,<NETWORK>)
 - > Complex way [outside of the scope for today]
 Associate statistics with
 functions <function name>
 using <special object type>



Why does it matter?

Because you may have multiple functions in the same SQL statement!

♦ Example

> Two functions: One is light and one is heavy

```
associate statistics with functions f_misha_light_tx default selectivity 0.1 default cost (0,0,0);
```

associate statistics with functions f_misha_heavy_tx
default selectivity 0.1
default cost (99999,99999,99999);

Both of them are used in the query

```
select /*+ gather_plan_statistics */*
from emp
where f_misha_heavy_nr(empno) = 1
and f_misha_light_nr (empno) = 0
```





Explain Plan Impact

SQL_ID	a5u0gvdt0ju36, ch	ld num	ber	c 0						
select /*+ gather_plan_statistics */* from emp where f_misha_heavy_tx(empno) = 1 and f_misha_light_tx (empno) = 0										
Plan ha	sh value: 39561609	32						_		
Id	Operation	 Name	 	E-Rows		A-Rows	 A-Time	 	Buffers	
0 * 1 	SELECT STATEMENT TABLE ACCESS FUL	 EMP	 	1	 	14 14	00:00:00.01 00:00:00.01	 	33 33 	
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):										
1 - filter(("F_MISHA_LIGHT_TX"("EMPNO")=0 AND "F_MISHA_HEAVY_TX"("EMPNO")=1))										

Order of functions has been changed!



Function calls (1)

Setup:

```
create package misha pkg is
    v nr number:=0;
end;
create or replace function f change tx (i tx varchar2)
return varchar2 is
begin
    misha pkg.v nr:=misha pkg.v nr+1;
    return lower(i tx);
end;
Create or replace procedure p check is
begin
     dbms output.put line('Fired:'||misha pkg.v nr);
     misha pkg.v nr:=0;
```



Function calls (2)

```
SQL> select empno, ename, f change tx(job) job change tx
  2
     from emp;
   • • •
14 rows selected.
SQL> exec p check
Fired:14
PL/SQL procedure successfully completed.
SQL> select empno, ename, (select f change tx(job) from dual)
  2 from emp;
  • • •
14 rows selected.
                                         Scalar sub-query
SQL> exec p check
Fired:5
                                                    Only 5 executions!
PL/SQL procedure successfully completed.
SOL>
                                                                35 of 43
```





Collection IN-lists (1)

 It is very convenient to build an IN-list as a collection and pass it to a WHERE clause

> But Oracle may or may not correctly interpret incoming data!

Example (setting)

create table misha_demo_inlist as select object_id, created from dba_objects where owner = 'MISHA' and object_id is not null;

alter table misha_demo_inlist add constraint
misha_demo_inlist_pk primary key (object_id) using index;

begin
dbms_stats.gather_table_stats(user, 'MISHA_DEMO_INLIST');
end;



Collection IN-lists (2)

create type id_tt is table of number;

```
select /*+ gather_plan_statistics*/
    max(created)
from misha_demo_inlist
where object_id in (
    select t.column_value
    from table(id_tt(227011,227415)) t
    )
```





Collection IN-lists (3)

SQL ID 6509b6f6d1mgy, child number 0

select /*+ gather_plan_statistics */ max(created) from misha_demo_inlist where object_id in (select t.column_value from table(id tt(227011,227415)) t)

Plan hash value: 22551403

Id	Operation	Name	E-Rows	A-Rows			
0 1 * 2 3 4	SELECT STATEMENT SORT AGGREGATE HASH JOIN COLLECTION ITERATOR CONSTRUCTOR FETCH TABLE ACCESS FULL	MISHA DEMO INLIST	1 8168 8168 29885	1 2 2 29885			
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):							
2 - access("OBJECT ID"=VALUE(KOKBF\$))							

Wrong cardinality



Collection IN-lists (4)

 Oracle does not correctly recognize how many objects are in the collection.

Alternatives:

Explicit cardinality hint

```
select /*+ gather_plan_statistics */ max(created)
from misha_demo_inlist
where object_id in (
    select /*+ cardinality (t 2) */t.column_value
    from table(id_tt(227011,227415)) t
    )
```

> Dynamic sampling

```
select /*+ gather_plan_statistics */ max(created)
from misha_demo_inlist
where object_id_in (
    select /*+ dynamic_sampling (t 4) */t.column_value
    from table(id_tt(227011,227415)) t
    )
```


Collection IN-lists (5)

◆ Result for both options is the same – and uses the index!

Id	Operation	 Name	E-Rows	A-Rows		
0 1 2 3 4 * 5 6 Predi	SELECT STATEMENT SORT AGGREGATE NESTED LOOPS COLLECTION ITERATOR CONSTRUCTOR FETCH INDEX UNIQUE SCAN TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID .cate Information (identified by operat	MISHA_DEMO_INLIST_E MISHA_DEMO_INLIST ion_id):	и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	1 1 2 2 2 2 2		
5	5 - access("OBJECT_ID"=VALUE(KOKBF\$)) Correct cardinality!					
Oynamic sampling will also have a special note about its level (it can be lower than requested)						

Note

- dynamic sampling used for this statement (level=2)





- Not all errors can be fixed by DBAs.
- Strategic problems should not be covered by tactical solutions.
- Enterprise-level thinking is required from the very beginning.
- $\diamond \dots$ and let's not forget about bind variables $\textcircled{\odot}$





Contact Information

Michael Rosenblum – mrosenblum@dulcian.com
Blog – wonderingmisha.blogspot.com

Website – www.dulcian.com





Available now: *Expert PL/SQL Practices*