

Looping the Loop: Different Ways of Working with Recursive Structures

Dr. Paul Dorsey Michael Rosenblum Dulcian, Inc. www.dulcian.com





Overview

Recursion

- > Powerful modeling technique
- Can be used for a number of reasons
 - Linked lists (contract versions)
 - Storage of tree structures (organizational hierarchy)
- Makes PL/SQL code more efficient

♦ Issues

- > Why is recursion underutilized?
- > Is there anything new?







This presentation assumes that:

- > 1. You know what a basic recursive table looks like.
- > 2. You have used the CONNECT BY clause.
- > 3. You can correctly place PRIOR in your code (at least on the second try ^(C)).





Simple Recursion

Not as useful as one might think:

- > Data values tend to change over time.
- > Those changes are "of interest" (meaning they must be kept).





Pseudo-Recursion Model

♦ Alternative models to support "versions" – BAD IDEA

- > Add Start Dates and End Dates everywhere.
- > Place VERSIONING table off to the side of the THING table.
- Create generic THING/THING ASSOCIATION structure.





Hmm...

People are building incomprehensible models.

- Simple recursion is inadequate to model business needs.
- ◆Is there an alternative?







Using Recursion





Business Case

System Requirements:

- > Organizational tree structure with 6 levels
 - The tree changes over time.
 - People are also parts of the tree (not just organizations)
 - Scheduling future changes
- > Reporting
 - Historical reports should use all trees between Start Date and End Date.
 - Events roll up using the tree valid at the time of the event.





Basic Model





Tree Class

 Each object is a tree structure of a particular type for a period of time.

Attributes:

- > Name: Logical name for the tree rarely used
- > Description: Also a cool idea that is rarely ever used.
- StartDate and EndDate: Dates for which the tree is valid.
- > Type: VERY important type of the tree. In this example, "FUNCTIONAL" or "GEOGRAPHIC".
 - Always include a Type attribute!
- Status: Current, Future, Past, Potential





Tree Node Class

♦ Each object is a node.

- > Primarily a set of pointers to "Thing" classes.
- Can also be a simple Folder node that does not point to any "thing" object.
- No Start/End Date attribute in this class.
 - > Time dependency is only at the tree level.

Attribute:

FolderName (populated only for a grouping folder)





Thing 1 / Thing 2 Classes

 Represent the standard object classes in your model

Could be OrgUnit or Person classes

 Demonstrate that you can have a tree with more than one kind of thing in it





Model Pros and Cons

Model Strengths

- Each tree exists as its own recursive structure.
- Query is a simple recursive query.
- No need to deal with dates in node elements.
- Model pattern is reusable any time.
- Clear depiction of what is being modeled



Model Limitations

- This model does not completely enforce everything.
 - Only one tree of a specific type can be valid for any date range.
 - Only specific types of Orgs are allowed to be children of other types of Orgs.





Model Extensions

Questions:

- How can you manage scheduled changes to the model (ones that did not yet happen)?
- How can you correctly report over the desired period of time?





Implementing Future Trees

- Create special LOG table
 - Include complete description of the required change
 - Define moment when the change should be applied
 - Create AUDIT table to stores applied changes.
- Keep LOG table consistent
 - > Mutually exclusive future changes null themselves out.
 - > Meaningless future changes are automatically detected and removed.
- Apply changes using a database job, fired after midnight.
- ♦ Move successfully applied changes LOG \rightarrow AUDIT
- Unsuccessful changes raise alarms





Maintaining Future Changes

- Create special temporary "future tree" as clone of current one.
 - > User must enter requested date ("Tree date")
 - > Apply all previously scheduled changes to the "future tree"
- ♦ All changes are converted into an event in the LOG table
 - Scheduled date = "Tree date"
- When editing the tree, the temporary tree is removed
- Concurrent future modifications:
 - "Clone to the future" only part of the tree starting with the selected node
 - This root note (and all dependent nodes) must be locked until the future tree is removed.



Time machine overview

Reasons to do it:

- Clear visibility of all changes and sequence of their application
- > Resolve all scheduling conflicts directly rather than using some type of complex analysis.





Handling Data "as of now"

- Problem is purely performance-related.
 - > TreeDetail eventually grows to millions of rows.
 - > Requests become expensive.
 - > Usually there are a lot of requests about current information.
- Solution: "Current snapshot"
 - De-normalized MView (each levels = separate column)
 - Also include most often called data elements
 - Refresh is done either by request or during the midnight database job.
 - > A lot of indexes!





Handling Data Between Dates

- Reporting problem:
 - > There may be many valid hierarchies over a period of time.
- Concept:
 - Log a <u>full hierarchical rollup</u> as of the moment of occurrence and exact timestamp for every critical event.
 - > <u>Quickly</u> query which hierarchical chains were active.
- Solution to querying:
 - Appendable de-normalized table (each level = one column)
 - > Shows the length of time that the specified hierarchical chain existed
 - Appended any time that a scheduled change to the organizational tree is being applied





Recursion in PL/SQL





Recursion in PL/SQL

Textbook example:

```
CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION f factorial nr
  (in nr INTEGER) RETURN NUMBER AS
BEGIN
  IF in nr in (0,1) THEN
    RETURN 1;
  ELSIF in nr < 0 then
   RETURN NULL;
 ELSE
    RETURN(in nr * f factorial nr(in nr-1));
  END IF;
END;
```



Using Recursion

Wrong case!

> PL/SQL is rarely used for heavy mathematical tasks.

Correct case should be:

- > Repetitive data-related processes
- > Always associated with recursive data structure
- Completely different set of issues
 - Cursors
 - Variables
 - Transaction control
 - Exception handling





Cursors in Recursion

Recursion in FOR-loops is a VERY BAD idea

- > All cursors are kept open until the end of the tree.
- Concurrent users create scores of cursors.
- Keeping multiple versions of data is very resource intensive.

Right idea:

- Bulk fetch into to the collection on each level
- Spin through the collection





Bad Idea

function f_LevelDown_tx (i_fk number) return varchar2 is
 v_out_tx varchar2(32000);
begin

for c in (select * from emp where mgr = i fk) loop dbms output.put line(c.ename); v out tx:=f LevelDown tx(c.empno); if v out tx!='OK' then raise application error (-20999, v out tx); end if; end loop; return 'OK'; exception when others then return 'E:FK'||i fk||'> error:'||sqlerrm; end;





```
function f LevelDown tx (i fk number) return varchar2 is
 v out tx varchar2(32000);
  type rec tt is table of emp%rowtype;
 v_tt rec_tt;
begin
  select * bulk COLLECT into v tt
  from emp where mgr = i fk;
  if v tt.count()>0 then
    for i in v tt.first..v tt.last loop
      v out tx:=f LevelDown tx(v tt(i).empno);
    end loop;
  end if;
  return 'OK';
end;
```



Variables

What is going on?

- > All local variables exist only in the current scope.
- > Two options to make variables visible:
 - 1. Passed down to the child call as input parameters
 - 2. Stored as global PL/SQL variables in a separate package

• Rule of thumb:

- If the value is used directly in the child and nowhere else, it is a parameter
- if the same value could be used in multiple places, it is a global variable
 - Scalar type if the value can be overridden
 - Collection type if multiple copies of the variable should be kept active



Example of Global Variables

```
for i in v tt.first..v tt.last loop
   if main pkg.v process tt(v tt(i).deptno)!=
     'Processing failed!'
   then
    v out tx:=f LevelDown tx(v tt(i).empno);
   end if;
   if v out tx!='OK' then
      main pkg.v process tt(v tt(i).deptno):=
      'Processing failed!';
   end if;
end loop;
```



Transaction Control

• What is going on?

> If procedure is marked <u>"autonomous transaction"</u>

- each recursive call would also spawn another autonomous transaction.
- all transaction-level resources would be separate for each call

Rule of thumb:

Try to avoid recursive autonomous transactions – may be too resource intense





Exception Handling

Two main issues:

- > How to know precisely where an error occurred
- > What to do after the problem is detected.
- Error logging



- Should be handled manually
- Add used-defined variables (such as chain of input parameters) to Oracle's error stack

Error handling:

- > Completely roll back changes to the moment before the recursive call.
- ▶ <u>Good:</u> much simpler and significantly less complex than a full cleanup
- <u>Bad:</u> May not be available in the case of explicit commits or autonomous transactions as part of a recursion.



Exception Handling Example

```
-- Main caller
declare
    v tx varchar2(32000);
begin
    savepoint beforeLoop;
    begin
        v tx:=f LevelDown tx(7839);
        -- business logic failure
        if v tx!='OK' then
            rollback to savepoint beforeLoop;
        end if;
    exception
        when others then
             -- abnormal failure
            rollback to savepoint beforeLoop;
            raise;
    end;
end;
```



CONNECT-BY







Oracle's method of working with recursive data:

```
select SYS_CONNECT_BY_PATH(empno,'|') path_tx,
        CONNECT_BY_ROOT ename root_tx,
        CONNECT_BY_ISCYCLE isCycle_yn,
        CONNECT_BY_ISLEAF isLeaf_yn,
        LEVEL level_nr,
        a.*
from emp a
start with mgr is null
connect by nocycle mgr = prior empno
order siblings by ename
```

♦ Key elements:

- Clause to link the parent/child structure
- List of Oracle built-in functions



WHERE Clause

 Beware of performance trap with WHEREclauses in the recursive query!





- > Get all tree nodes belonging to a certain tree
- Data volume:1.6 million nodes, 800 trees (2000 nodes per tree) up to 6 levels deep
- > Indexes are created on all related columns (TreeNode PK, TreeNode RFK, TreeNode FK).



Handling WHERE Clause

Simplest Version (bad)

select *

from TreeNode

where Tree_oid = :1

connect by TreeNode_rfk =
 prior TreeNode oid

start with TreeNode rfk is null

Takes 2 minutes to run

 Reason: WHERE clause is applied only AFTER the whole lookup is finished

 all trees are processed

Better Version select * from TreeNode where Tree oid = :1connect by TreeNode rfk= prior TreeNode oid start with TreeNode rfk is null and Tree oid=:1

- Much better 0.08 seconds
 - Reason: Only single tree is processed
- WHERE clause is redundant.





- select *
- from TreeNode
- connect by TreeNode_rfk = prior TreeNode_oid
- start with TreeNode_rfk is null and Tree_oid = :1
- No extra steps
- Simple way of finding the root node
- Parent/child link is done via indexed columns





Popular Alternative

select *
from (select *
 from TreeNode
 where and Tree_oid = :1)
connect by TreeNode_rfk = prior TreeNode_oid
start with TreeNode_rfk is null

Internally rewritten to:
 select *
 from TreeNode
 connect by TreeNode_rfk = prior TreeNode_oid
 and Tree_oid = :1
 start with TreeNode_rfk is null
 and Tree_oid = :1



Joins (1)

• Seemingly direct approach: Select nvl(r.fullName tx, o.UnitName tx) childName tx, d.* from TreeNode d, Person r, OrgUnit o where d.Person oid = r.Person oid (+) d.OrgUnit oid = o.OrgUnit oid (+) and and (d.Person oid is null or d.role cd = 'Primry') connect by d.TreeNode rfk = prior d.TreeNode oid start with d. TreeNode rfk is null and d. Tree oid = :1

Problems:

- Joins are applied BEFORE hierarchical walk-down
- > Other parts of WHERE-clause afterwards → unnecessary calls!



Joins (2)

```
Select nvl(r.fullName tx, o.UnitName tx) childName tx,
       d.*
from
  (select *
   from TreeNode
   connect by TreeNode rfk = prior TreeNode oid
   start with TreeNode rfk is null and Tree oid = :1) d,
   Person r,
   OrgUnit o
where d.Person oid = r.Person oid (+)
       d.OrgUnit oid = o.OrgUnit oid (+)
and
      (d.Person oid is null or d.role cd = 'Primary')
and
```

Advantage:

Clear separation of recursive and non-recursive structure



Common Table Expressions (CTE)





Common Table Expressions

- Oracle's way of working with recursions
 - CONNECT BY
 - Not part of standard SQL
 - Supported only by small number of other vendors



- "Common Table Expressions" (CTE)
- Part of standard SQL
- Supported by a number of vendors (SQL Server, MySQL, PostgreSQL)

♦ Surprise

Oracle version 11g R2 - same mechanism introduced as "Recursive Subquery Factoring"





CTE Example

With employees (empno, name, mgr) as

-- anchor

select empno, ename, mgr

from emp

where mgr is null

union all

-- recursive block

select e.empno, e.ename, e.mgr
from emp e,
 employees m
where m.empno = e.mgr
) search depth first by name set seq
select empno, name, mgr, seq
from employees





How does it work?

Concept:

- Run the anchor part of the UNION ALL query to get root elements.
- Pass a set of root elements to the second part of the query and get the next set (second level) of records
- > Repeat step 2 until no rows are accessed.

Advantage:

- CTE works by SETs of rows, while CONNECT-BY works row-by-row
- Practical aspect (in theory):
 - Should significantly improve performance
 - > Higher level of flexibility in your SQL statements.



CTE vs. CONNECT BY

♦ CTE

- Can do everything that can be done by CONNECT BY, while the reverse statement is not true.
- Provides "on the fly" calculation of results, while CONNECT-BY needs everything to be pre-calculated.

♦ CONNECT-BY

- > Very well optimized. CBO can build much more efficient plans for it.
- CONNECT-BY has built-in functions

♦ Keep in mind:

- > In SQL Server, the engine is doing row-level processing "under the hood"!
 - \rightarrow the real behavior may still be different.

Conclusion:

> There is no good reason to use CTE at the current level of implementation.



Conclusions

- Developers should not be afraid of hierarchical data and coding structures!
- It is possible to effectively use them to solve real-life problems.
- The Oracle RDBMS environment is sometimes too rich to blindly make architectural decisions.
- Understanding all of the existing built-ins and ways of internal query optimization can save you from reinventing the wheel.



Contact Information

Or. Paul Dorsey – paul_dorsey@dulcian.com

- Michael Rosenblum mrosenblum@dulcian.com
- Dulcian website www.dulcian.com



Latest book: Oracle PL/SQL for Dummies

