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About Myself....

Word of Thanks to NYOUG – for this talk today

- A Certified DBA (OCP) on 4 different Database versions – since 1998
- Former member of Oracle Corporation - BI Consulting Practice
- Experience in Oracle Data Warehousing, Business Intelligence (OBIEE) and Data Mining
- Founder and President of Oracle BIWA SIG (http://OracleBIWA.org)
- Received IOUG Oracle Contribution Award in 2007
- Frequent speaker in Oracle Openworld (2003, 06, 07, 08), NYOUG (June 06, Sep 06, Sep 08), IOUG/Collaborate (2005, 06, 08), NOUG (2006), SFOUG (2007), ODTUG (2008) on topics ranging from Database to BI.
- Bachelors from Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), MBA and MS from Florida Atlantic University
The Growth of Databases

Here is the historic perspective of the growth of the databases in the industry and how Oracle has responded to that.

Source: Oracle Presentation by A Muley
The Storage Problem

ABC Inc.’s Data Warehouse is approaching 12 terabytes in size and growing by 100% every year! Storage and backup of data alone is costing 20% of the IT budget.

---

**Today**

How much is ABC Inc. spending in Storage?

**Annual cost $1.0 m**
- Total IT budget is $5m, and storage cost is expected to double next year at the given rate

---

**Tomorrow**

What are the other impacts of huge storage needs?

**Information Retrieval is slow**
- Not only is the Data Warehouse growing unmanageable in size, information query is slowing down leading to lost orders
What is causing the explosion of data in most enterprises?

- **Regulatory Compliance Landscape**
  - Government regulations like SOX, HIPAA government regulations that mandate storing historical data for a certain number of years

- **Web 2.0**
  - A new kind of data source – Web 2.0 such as social networks, blogs leading to various forms of semi-structured and unstructured data. Some of these data is being stored in the database, some in ECM

- **Multi media content**
  - Bandwidth has become cheap and increasing amounts of multimedia content is being generated and stored

- **Migration of Legacy Applications**
  - As legacy applications from main frames and other files based databases is being migrated to RDBMS, increasing volumes of data is being stored inside the database

- **Click-stream**
  - Click-stream and personalization data continues to explore for online sites
Some Large Databases in use Today

• Yahoo's data needs are substantial.
• According to Hasan, VP of Data, the travel industry's Sabre system handles 50m events / day, credit card company Visa handles 120m events / day, and the New York Stock Exchange has handled over 225 m events / day.
• Yahoo, he said, handles **24 billion events / day**, fully two orders of magnitude more than other non-Internet companies.
Types of Compression

Loss-less compression

- Original content can completely be recovered
- E.g. gzip, winzip

Not the same as for example

- JPEG (images), MP3 (audio)
- MPEG (video)

Compression Algorithms

- Huffman coding by David Huffman
- The basic idea in Huffman coding is to assign short codewords to those input blocks with high probabilities and long codewords to those with low probabilities.
- Same principle is used in Morse code (A . _ E .)

Statisticians study the frequency of occurrence of English alphabets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adaptive Huffman</th>
<th>Lempel-Ziv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaTeX file</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech file</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image file</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Size of compressed file as percentage of the original file*
Physical v/s Logical Database Compression

Physical Database Compression
• Hardware assisted compression
  • IMS, the first commercially available database offered Hardware Assisted Data Compression (HDC)
  • It compressed the IMS blocks at the hardware level, completely transparent to the database engine.
• Block/page level compression
  • Historical database compression uses external mechanisms that are invisible to the database.
  • As block are written from the database, user exits invoke compression routines to store the compressed block on disk.
  • Examples include CLEMCOMP, Presspack and InfoPak

Logical Database Compression
• Table segment and Block Compression
  • Examples Oracle 9i R2, 10g, 11g
Physical Compression

Hardware assisted compression
• IMS, the first commercially available database offered Hardware Assisted Data Compression (HDC)
• It compressed the IMS blocks at the hardware level, completely transparent to the database engine.

Block/page level compression
• Historical database compression uses external mechanisms that are invisible to the database.
• As block are written from the database, user exits invoke compression routines to store the compressed block on disk.
• Examples include CLEMCOMP, Presspack and InfoPak
Quote from TDWI

In any BI application, it’s always disk I/O that slows performance.

• Data compression works hand in hand with partitioning
• Data in business intelligence environments typically remains active for a certain period, after which it does not change any more
• For example, a retailer may allow a 30-day return period after the purchase, after which the records will not change
• Once data is “frozen,” it can be compressed and made available for query-only purposes
• A time-based partitioning scheme helps in identifying the data set that can be compressed. [TDWI]
Read-only Data

Data Warehouse (reporting database)

- Monthly nature of data changes
  - E.g. sales and returns
  - E.g. call detail records

Information Lifecycle Management scenarios

- Older data may not be subject to changes
- Remember compression by partition
How does Data Compression Work?

Let is look at the internal workings of data compression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FIRST_NAME</th>
<th>LAST_NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The compressed block uses a symbol table and holds more data, leading to reduction in space usage by up to 5 times.
In other words…

• The algorithm works by eliminating duplicate values within a database block, even across multiple columns.

• Compressed blocks contain a structure called a symbol table that maintains compression metadata.

• When a block is compressed, duplicate values are eliminated by first adding a single copy of the duplicate value to the symbol table.

• Each duplicate value is then replaced by a short reference to the appropriate entry in the symbol table.
Data warehouse compression solves the key problem at ABC Inc.

Data Compression has a four-fold role in an enterprise

- Enhanced user experience inside the organization
- Handle data warehouse storage needs
- Net cost benefit
- Create competitive advantage

**USER EXPERIENCE**
The users are able to retrieve information faster due to improved information query response time by up to 3 times

**DATA STORAGE**
Use of Data Compression reduces storage need by up to 5 times

**COST BENEFIT**
The cost of additional license for Data Compression option is easily overcome by cost reduction in storage

**COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE**
Ability to get results 3 times faster from the Data Warehouse will enhance Decision Support process and result in potential increased customer orders due to reduced decision time frames
The Goal of Data Compression

Table Compression Syntax (OLTP):

CREATE TABLE emp (emp_id NUMBER, first_name VARCHAR2(128), last_name VARCHAR2(128))
COMPRESS FOR ALL OPERATIONS;

Direct Load Compression Syntax (default):

CREATE TABLE emp (emp_id NUMBER, first_name VARCHAR2(128), last_name VARCHAR2(128))
COMPRESS [FOR DIRECT_LOAD OPERATIONS];
Historical Perspective of Data Compression

Oracle 8.15  (Feb 1999)
  – Index key compression: duplicate keys are combined to save space

Data Compression introduced in Oracle Database 9i Release 2
  – Compression during bulk load operations (Direct Load, CTAS)
  – Data modified using conventional DML not compressed

LOB compression (utl_compress) in Oracle 10g

Oracle 11g – Advanced Compression
Advanced Compression

Comprehensive set of data compression capabilities

• Structured, unstructured, backup, network transport

• Reduces storage consumption by 2 – 3 times
  • Savings cascades into test, QA, backup, DR environments

• Improves application performance

• Enhances memory and network efficiency

• Complete application transparency

• Benefits diverse application workloads
  • Transaction Processing
  • Data Warehousing
  • Content Management
Syntax for Compression

COMPRESS keyword works for tables, table partitions and entire tablespaces, within the "create table" DDL:

create table NYOUG (col1 number) NOCOMPRESS;
create table NYOUG (col1 number) COMPRESS FOR DIRECT_LOAD OPERATIONS;
create table NYOUG (col1 number) COMPRESS FOR ALL OPERATIONS;

CREATE TABLESPACE MYSTUFF ... DEFAULT{ COMPRESS [ FOR { ALL | DIRECT_LOAD } OPERATIONS ] | NOCOMPRESS }

Several DBA views have been enhanced in 11g to show compression attributes.
The dba_tables view has added the new columns COMPRESSED (enabled, disabled) and COMPRESSED_FOR (nocompress, compress for direct_load operations, compress for all operations.
OLTP Table Compression

Oracle Database 11g extends table compression for OLTP data

- Support for conventional DML Operations
  (INSERT, UPDATE)

New algorithm significantly reduces write overhead

- Batched compression ensures no impact for most OLTP transactions

No impact on reads

- Reads may actually see improved performance due to fewer IOs and enhanced memory efficiency
Features of Compression

 Specify table compression with the COMPRESS clause of the CREATE TABLE statement. You can enable compression for an existing table by using this clause in an ALTER TABLE statement.

 In this case, the only data that is compressed is the data inserted or updated after compression is enabled.

 Similarly, you can disable table compression for an existing compressed table with the ALTER TABLE...NOCOMPRESS statement. In this case, all data the was already compressed remains compressed, and new data is inserted uncompressed.

 To enable compression for conventional DML, you must set the COMPATIBLE initialization parameter to 11.1.0 or higher.

 The keyword COMPRESS by itself is the same as the clause COMPRESS FOR DIRECT_LOAD OPERATIONS, and invokes the same compression behavior as previous database releases.
Compression - Features

- Adding and Dropping Columns in Compressed Tables
  - When you enable compression for all operations on a table, you can add and drop table columns.
  - If you enable compression for direct-path inserts only, you cannot drop columns, and you can add columns only if you do not specify default values.

- Compression and Partitioned Tables
  - You can enable or disable compression at the partition level. You can therefore have a table with both compressed and uncompressed partitions.
  - If the compression settings for a table and one of its partitions disagree, the partition setting has precedence for the partition.

SQL> SELECT table_name, compression, compress_for FROM user_tables;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE_NAME</th>
<th>COMPRESS</th>
<th>COMPRESS_FOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>DISABLED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>ENABLED</td>
<td>DIRECT LOAD ONLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>ENABLED</td>
<td>FOR ALL OPERATIONS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Partitioned and Compressed Table

Here all partitions except the northeast partition are compressed.

CREATE TABLE sales

(saleskey number, quarter number, product number, salesperson number,
amount number(12, 2), region varchar2(10)) COMPRESS

PARTITION BY LIST (region)

(PARTITION northwest VALUES ('NORTHWEST'),
PARTITION southwest VALUES ('SOUTHWEST'),
PARTITION northeast VALUES ('NORTHEAST') NOCOMPRESS,
PARTITION southeast VALUES ('SOUTHEAST'),
PARTITION western VALUES ('WESTERN'));
The following simple statement parallelizes the creation of a table and stores the result in a compressed format, using table compression:

```
CREATE TABLE hr.admin_emp_dept PARALLEL COMPRESS
AS SELECT * FROM hr.employees WHERE department_id = 10;
```

You can also use the `ALTER TABLE...MOVE` statement with a COMPRESS clause to store the new segment using table compression.

Compressing the index

```
CREATE INDEX cust_dup_idx ON customer(sex, hair_color, customer_id)
PARALLEL NOLOGGING COMPRESS 2;
```

Key Compression for index organized table, you cannot specify COMPRESS for a bitmap index

```
CREATE TABLE admin_iot5(i INT, j INT, k INT, l INT, PRIMARY KEY (i, j, k)) ORGANIZATION INDEX COMPRESS;
```
Query large table (500 GB) – full table scan

Throughput 1 GB/s

- 8 minutes, 20 seconds to read table
- Compression 2:1 – 4 minutes, 10 seconds to read table

IO-bound operations can get better performance

- Assume the CPU cost is less than the IO performance gain

Find the bottleneck in your system
OLTP Table Compression Process

Legend

- Header Data
- Uncompressed Data
- Free Space
- Compressed Data
Block-Level *Batch* Compression

- Individual INSERT and UPDATEs do not cause recompression
- Compression cost is spread over several DML operations
- Block-level (Local) compression keeps up with frequent data changes in OLTP environments
- Compression utilities such as GZIP and BZ2 use similar adaptive, block level compression
OLTP Table Compression

Employee Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FIRST_NAME</th>
<th>LAST_NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initially Uncompressed Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jane Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>John Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jane Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INSERT INTO EMPLOYEE
VALUES (5, 'Jack', 'Smith');
COMMIT;
OLTP Table Compression

Employee Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FIRST_NAME</th>
<th>LAST_NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jack</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compressed Block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FIRST_NAME</th>
<th>LAST_NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Doe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jack</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Symbol Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Free Space

Header

John= 0 | Doe= 1 | Jane= 2 | Smith= 3

```plaintext
1•0•1 2•2•1 3•0•3 4•2
•1•5•Jack•3
```
Real World Compression Results

More than 70% Storage Savings

Storage Utilization

No Compression
Compression
Real World Compression Results

Table Scan Performance

Compression is 2.5x Faster

Time (seconds)

No Compression  Compression

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4
Real World Compression Results

Table Scan Reads

Physical Reads

Compression performs 3.5x fewer reads

No Compression  Compression
Real World Compression Results

Index Range Scan Performance

Time (seconds)

No Performance Impact on Index Scans

No Compression  Compression
Real World Compression Results

DML Performance

Time (seconds)

Compression has less than 3% overhead

No Compression  Compression
OLTP Table Compression

**Best Practices**

Compress the top few largest tables only

80/20 Rule – 20% of your Tables Consume 80% of your Space

Better Compression with Bigger Block Sizes

Higher Probability of Duplicate Values

B-Tree Index Compression

Validate Index and Review INDEX_STATS
- INDEX_STATS.OPT_CMPR_COUNT
- INDEX_STATS.OPT_CMPR_PCTSAVE

Bitmap Indexes are Highly Compressed

Good for low and medium cardinality
Hands on Testing 10 g

Used CTAS with compressed and uncompressed table as source
Hands on Testing 10 g

Insert / Delete Performance test
Hands on Testing 10 g

Update Performance test

```
SQL> insert into COMPRESSED_COPY values (9999, 1, 'day_cd', 'typ', 'yr_cd', 1);
1 row created.
Elapsed: 00:00:00.00
SQL> update compressed_copy set day_cd='new_cd' where DAY_TODATE_TRANS_KEY=9999;
1 row updated.
Elapsed: 00:00:00.04
SQL> insert into unCOMPRESSED_COPY values (9999, 1, 'day_cd', 'typ', 'yr_cd', 1);
1 row created.
Elapsed: 00:00:00.00
SQL> update uncompressed_copy set day_cd='new_cd' where DAY_TODATE_TRANS_KEY=9999;
1 row updated.
Elapsed: 00:00:00.12
SQL>
```
Advanced Compression Advisor

Compression Advisor estimates potential storage savings using OLTP Table Compression feature
This estimate is based on analysis of a sample of data and provides a good estimate

Using Compression Advisor - **DBMS_Compression** package containing the following procedure:

```sql
getratio(
    ownername   IN  varchar2,
    tabname     IN  varchar2,
    sampling_percent  IN  number
);
where

- 'ownername' is the schema that the table belongs to
- 'tabname' is name of the table for which compression ratio is to be estimated
- 'sampling_percent' is any value between 0.000001 and 100

The output of this procedure is the estimated compression ratio.

```
SQL> exec DBMS_COMPRESSION.getratio('SH','SALES',10);
```

Sampling table: SH.SALES
Sampling percentage: 10%
Compression ratio: 2.99
PL/SQL procedure successfully completed.
Installing Advanced Compression Advisor

Download and install it by running the SQL package
Real World Testing of Compression (sean_mccown@infoworld.com)

Note that the two tables with 0% compressed (C_District and C_Item) are lookup tables; they have no duplicate data, so wouldn't be expected to benefit from Advanced Compression.

The TPC-C data reflects the operations of a company with 4,000 warehouses that supply a total of 40,000 districts (10 per warehouse) and 120 million customers (3,000 per district).

The company sells 100,000 different items, and each warehouse maintains stocks for each item.

The C_New_Order table I generated contained 36 million rows, and the C_Order_Line and C_Stock tables had 1,578,942,568 and 186,338,813 rows, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Name</th>
<th>Original Size (MB)</th>
<th>Compressed Size (MB)</th>
<th>% of Original</th>
<th>% Compressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_District</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_Item</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_New_Order</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_Customer</td>
<td>93,882</td>
<td>78,249</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_History</td>
<td>7,680</td>
<td>3,327</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_Order_Line</td>
<td>104,528</td>
<td>72,703</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_Stock</td>
<td>66,304</td>
<td>56,065</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advantages

- Efficient – decompression is very cheap

Disadvantages

- Requires advance data knowledge
  - Re-compress implies recreate table – DDL becomes ugly
- Real world has more than 255 repetitive values
  - E.g. ORDER_DATE
- Hard to tune for optimal compression
  - Choose how many bits to use
  - Take into account CHAR vs. VARCHAR
  - May require several iterations
- No multi-column compression
The Pay-Off for Data Compression

Net Savings Vs. Production Database Size

Net Savings Over Evaluation Period

Production Database Size (TB)
Index Compression

B-tree indexes
- Can be compressed
- May have prefix columns
  - Compressing a unique index makes no sense

Bitmap indexes
- Naturally compressed bitmap structure
Introduction to SecureFiles

SecureFiles is a new 11g feature designed to break the performance barrier keeping file data out of databases

Next-generation LOB

- Superset of LOB interfaces allows easy migration from LOBs
- Transparent deduplication, compression, and encryption
- Leverage the security, reliability, and scalability of database

Enables consolidation of file data with associated relational data

- Single security model
- Single view of data
- Single management of data
- Scalable to any level using SMP scale-up or grid scale-out
SecureFiles Deduplication

*SecureFiles* is a new 11g feature designed to break the performance barrier keeping file data out of databases

- Enables storage of a single physical image for duplicate data
- Significantly reduces space consumption
- Dramatically improves writes and copy operations
- No adverse impact on read operations
- May actually improve read performance for cache data
Data Pump Compression

Performance cost: ~10% overhead

Compression Ratio: comparable to gzip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compression Method</th>
<th>OE/SH Schemas</th>
<th>Spatial Table</th>
<th>Spatial Schema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>exdp compression=none</td>
<td>6.0 MB</td>
<td>26.6 MB</td>
<td>443 MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expdp compression=all</td>
<td>1.5 MB (74.7%)</td>
<td>9.9 MB (62.7%)</td>
<td>140 MB (68.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gzip –cv1</td>
<td>1.1 MB (82.7%)</td>
<td>11.4 MB (57.1%)</td>
<td>162 MB (63.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gzip –cv6</td>
<td>835 KB (86.2%)</td>
<td>10.2 MB (61.7%)</td>
<td>142 MB (68.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gzip –cv9</td>
<td>818 KB (86.5%)</td>
<td>10.1 MB (62.0%)</td>
<td>141 MB (68.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compress</td>
<td>1.6 MB (74.2%)</td>
<td>13.8 MB (48.1%)</td>
<td>198 MB (55.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Backup Compression

Fast RMAN Compression

- Compresses the backup set contents before writing them to disk or tape
- No extra decompression steps are required during recovery when you use RMAN compression
- High performance, industry standard compression algorithm
  - 40% faster backup compression versus Oracle Database 10g
- Suitable for fast, incremental daily backups
- Reduces network usage
Backup Compression Syntax

RMAN Compression Syntax

```
RMAN> backup as COMPRESSED BACKUPSET database archivelog all
```

DataPump Syntax

```
PROMPT> expdp hr FULL=y DUMPFILE=dpump_dir:full.dmp COMPRESS
```
Backup Compression Results

Backup Size Comparison

Compression reduced backup size by 6x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GB</th>
<th>No Compression</th>
<th>10g Compression</th>
<th>11g Compression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Backup Speed Comparison
Slow I/O (Tape)

11g Compression reduces backup time by almost 3x
Backup Compression

Backup Speed Comparison
Slow I/O (Tape)

11g Compression is almost 2.5x faster than 10g Compression

Time (Seconds)

No Compression
10g Compression
11g Compression
Backup Compression

Backup **Speed** Comparison
Fast I/O (Disk)

11g Compression is almost 2.5x faster than 10g Compression
Oracle Data Guard

Redo Shipping

- Send redo data over network from primary to standby
- Size of redo data typically small (transactional) and not network-bound

Gap Resolution

- After network outage – resynchronize standby
- Size of redo data much larger
**Network Compression**

**Oracle Data Guard Redo Transport Services**

Fast re-sync of standby database after network outages

Lower bandwidth networks (<100Mbps)
- 15-35% less time required to transmit 1 GB of data
- Bandwidth consumption reduced up to 35%

High bandwidth networks (>100 Mbps)
- Compression will not reduce transmission time
- But will reduce bandwidth consumption up to 35%
What can data compression do for you?

Let us look at why data compression needs to be in the BIDW roadmap of the companies to address common issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Explosion on Data Volumes</td>
<td>Absolute volumes of growth can be reduced by using compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of licensing Advanced Data Compression</td>
<td>Total cost of ownership is reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing Query Performance due to large database size</td>
<td>Compression leads to improvement in query performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB is compressed, what about backup?</td>
<td>Table, index, backup, network traffic, all can be compressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility with other 11g features like DB m/c or Partitioning</td>
<td>Compression can be used with Exadata storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of adoption and learning curve of data compression</td>
<td>No impact to app developers/end-users, minimal impact for DBA’s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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