#### TESTING ORACLE QA Strategies for Success

#### Anthony D. Noriega MSCS, MBA, BSSE, OCP-DBA









### **Speaker Qualifications**

- Over 20 years of IT experience, 18 with Oracle
- **4** Former OCP and Oracle University Instructor.
- Speaker at NYOUG and IOUG events
- **MS Computer Science**, NJIT, 1993



- PhD CIS candidate, NJIT, 1997 with software engineering research under the supervision of Profs. Dr. Wilhelm Rossak (Technische Universität Wien, TU Vienna) and Dr. David T. Wang (CMU)
- **MBA MIS**, Montclair State University, 2006
- BS Systems Eng., Universidad del Norte, Colombia, 1987 Consultant at Allied-Signal Aerospace Company's CAE Center, AT&T, Bowne & Co, Deutsche Bank, Empire Blue-Cross Blue-Shield, FMC, IBM, MCS Canon, Merrill-Lynch, M&M Mars, TD Ameritrade, and Time Warner.





- Recount 18 years of Oracle analysis, design and implementation, and software engineering experience
- Emphasize the workflow characterization in order to accomplish better QA control.
- Discuss areas of current and future applications to achieve process improvement.
- Emphasize and inter-related both the related conceptual and practical frameworks.
- Introduce Oracle11g Real Application Testing (RAT)

# Conceptual Framework Overview

- **4** Software Engineering Model
  - Waterfall Model
  - Incremental and Iterative Models
  - Spiral Model
- **CMM/CMMI CMM:** 
  - Predictability of recurring success based on maturity.
  - CMMI-Dev focuses on specific areas such as risk, configuration management, high availability, reliability, etc.
- Six Sigma
  - Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control (DMAIC).
- **XP Model** 
  - Unit Testing.

# Conceptual Framework Overview

#### Prototyping Methodologies

- Rapid
- Incremental
- Exploratory
- Rapid Application Development (RAD) Methodologies
- Rational Unified Process (RUP)
  - Object-Oriented Analysis and Design (UML)
  - Use Cases
- **4** Reengineering Model



## **Testing Paradigms**

- Black Box Testing
- **White Box Testing**
- **4** Gray Box Testing
- Unit Testing (Extreme Programming, XP)
- Software Refactoring (Regression Testing)
- **SADT (Software Analysis and Design Technique)**
- 4 Quality Improvement Process (QIP)
- **IDEAL**.



### **Software Metrics**

Production Cost Models

- Construction Cost Model (COCOMO)
- Based on KLOC or KDSI model
- Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS)
  - Component reusability
  - Pre-built, cheaper and faster (hot pluggable).



### **Quality Assurance Models**

# Total Quality Management (TQM) Quality Improvement Process (QIP)

# **Quality Assurance Stages**

- Requirements Engineering
- Design and Implementation
- Development
- System Integration
- User Acceptance Testing (UAT)
- Regression Testing
- Maintenance and Production Control

**Empiric Business Framework** 



•

## Workflow Characterization

- Relating Database Workflow characterization is finding the cause of resource utilization and related patterns.
- Managing and controlling workflow accordingly is not a task reserved solely to the DBA or the System Administrator.
- Identifying workflow source such as being driven by a Front-end, Back-end, Middleware or Network Entity is an important finding to achieve successful testing and quality assurance in a related database environment.
- Failing to correctly identify and characterize the environment workflow could result in the inadequacy of the master QA plan, and systematic error at all stages of the SDLC or other significant iterative methodology used.

### Leadership Counts



#### Application Server Market



Data Mining Market



#### **Business Intelligence Market**



#### Database Market

Oracle in the Leader's Quadrant

 Requirements Engineering
 From Functional to Technical Requirements.
 Setting the Baseline

Performing elicitation, gathering and maintaining consistent and up-to-date requirements at all phases is of major concern to attain optimal quality assurance at each stage.

Data Model Design and Implementation Data Model tuning could involve: Further normalization or de-normalization Further model decomposition Physical model tuning: indexes, MVs, etc. Business-driven tuning or enhancement, e.g., globalization, internationalization, or localization of an existing data model.

Ensuring the data integrity and consistency fully comply with requirements engineering goals, even in scenarios where testing is made via snapshot from production databases.

## Testing a Simple Relationship

#### **Referential Integrity in the E-R Model**

Consider relationship set *R* between entity sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . The relational schema for *R* includes the primary keys  $K_1$  of  $E_1$  and  $K_2$  of  $E_2$ .

Then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  form foreign keys on the relational schemas for  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively.



Weak entity sets are also a source of referential integrity constraints.

For the relation schema for a weak entity set must include the primary key attributes of the entity set on which it depends

#### Checking Referential Integrity on Database Modification

The following tests must be made in order to preserve the following referential integrity constraint:

#### $\prod_{\alpha} (\mathbf{r}_2) \subseteq \prod_{\mathcal{K}} (\mathbf{r}_1)$

**Insert.** If a tuple  $t_2$  is inserted into  $r_2$ , the system must ensure that there is a tuple  $t_1$  in  $r_1$  such that  $t_1[K] = t_2[\alpha]$ . That is

 $\boldsymbol{t}_{2}[\boldsymbol{\alpha}] \in \prod_{\boldsymbol{K}} (\boldsymbol{r}_{1})$ 

Delete. If a tuple, t<sub>1</sub> is deleted from r<sub>1</sub>, the system must compute the set of tuples in r<sub>2</sub> that reference t<sub>1</sub>:

 $\sigma_{\alpha=t\uparrow[\mathsf{K}]}\left(\textbf{\textit{r}}_{2}\right)$ 

#### If this set is not empty

- 🕴 either the delete command is rejected as an error, or
- the tuples that reference t<sub>1</sub> must themselves be deleted (cascading deletions are possible).

#### **Database Modification (Cont.)**

- Update. There are two cases:
  - If a tuple t<sub>2</sub> is updated in relation r<sub>2</sub> and the update modifies values for foreign key α, then a test similar to the insert case is made:
    - Let t<sub>2</sub>' denote the new value of tuple t<sub>2</sub>. The system must ensure that

#### $t_2'[\alpha]\in \prod_{k}(t_1)$

- If a tuple t<sub>1</sub> is updated in r<sub>1</sub>, and the update modifies values for the primary key (K), then a test similar to the delete case is made:
  - 1. The system must compute
    - $\sigma_{\alpha,=t_1[K]}(t_2)$  using the old value of  $t_4$  (the value before the update is applied).
  - 2. If this set is not empty
    - the update may be rejected as an error, or
    - the update may be cascaded to the tuples in the set, or
    - 3. the tuples in the set may be deleted.



Employee

Employee Number [PK1]

WarksOn

Employee-Project

Employee Number (PK1)(FK) Project Number (PK1)(FK)

ey Data

Key Data

## If your original data model is not consistent, your test could go

Source: http://www.infocom.cgu.edu.au/Courses/spr2000/95169/Extra\_Examples/ERD.htm



## Consulting Case Studies

Development
 Testing SQL Code

 Testing for Performance Tuning
 Testing for Upgrade/Migration
 Testing for Cloning Tuning

 Strategies to Test PL/SQL

SQL Testing could be as easy as 123 or cumbersome as having to create a tuning SQL profile or outline after a careful study. PL/SQL extends its programming test mode and its SQL embedding capability thereon.

## Sample SQL Code (Oracle9i) (8i)



\* ERROR at line 6: ORA-00932: inconsistent datatypes: expected INTERVAL got NUMBER

SQL code prior to upgrade does not work after upgrade, since this code used to have time-based columns using the DATE data type.





4 rows updated.

After upgrade, this code uses time-based columns with the TIMESTAMP data type. Time arithmetic is now subject to different rules. This code was embedded in a JSP. The Java developer could never figure out what was wrong with it, until his team formally consulted the DBA.

# User Acceptance Testing (UAT) Meeting User Interface and Human Factors Goals Achieving Optimal Functionality and Usability.

Concentrating efforts in achieving synergy with business development leaders and managers is key to Oracle stakeholders, such as DBAs and Architects, and other infrastructure stakeholders, such as system, network, and SAN administrators to congruently attain the project goals and meet the desired milestones in a timely manner.

Regression Testing after Integration.
Verify and Validate.
Testing the old or untouched modules.
Testing the new modules.
Fixing what does not work anyway.

What was changed in that code that has affected the behavior of my triggers and forms. Why the newly applied patch affecting the consistency of my results. These are issues where system integration testing may not guarantee that the existing module will not be ultimately affected, and therefore regression testing is a must. It has happened many times during my computer consulting career. Comment: The project manager never gets blamed for it! It could have been the consultant that just got involved!

#### Production QA and Maintenance Business Continuity

- Production Support
  - Deploying and Redeploying Upgraded modules
- On-demand Reverse Engineering

Trusting that your code can rely exclusively on previous stages testing once it goes into production is probably a naive neglect.. The interdependence from your deployed application an other processes such as business continuity (BC) and high availability (HA) backup and recovery (BR), and more critically disaster recovery (DR) is as important as quality assurance in those earlier stages. Whether your use RMAN or a third-party solution, your database availability and your SAN reliability are both mission critical.

- Grid Testing
  - Infrastructure Testing
  - Agent, Manager and Network Connectivity
  - Tuning Automation, Pooling and Virtualization frameworks.
  - Testing Manageability and Grid Control.
  - Testing Grid Interfaces.
  - Information Retrieval
    - Data Consistency
    - Availability
    - Latency





## **RAC Testing**



Source: André Feld, Technology Manager, Deutsche Post World Net

Quality Assurance Baselines and Timeline Control The accuracy of COCOMO II and other **Project Management methodologies** when Software Quality Assurance (SQA) is mission critical. Downtime and Windows of time control.



- Front-end driven workflow.
- Back-end driven Workflow.
- Middleware-driven workflow.
  - Streams and Messaging Technologies
  - SOA and Web Services
  - Other middleware

## **Testing User Interfaces**

Testing Forms Form, object, and block level triggers Interface congruency (Usability) Form process performance (Functionality) Testing Reports Accuracy Timeliness Testing BI Applications BI Congruency **BI** Datamart Reporting

## Validating BI Objects (MOLAP)

CREATE DIMENSION RMAN_REC_DIM					
LEVEL DB_NAME IS					
(RMAN_HIST.DB_NAME)					
LEVEL CITY IS					
(RMAN_HIST.CITY)					
LEVEL STATE IS					
(RMAN_HIST.STATE)					
LEVEL REGION IS					
(RMAN_HIST.REGION)					
HIERARCHY INST_ROLLUP					
(DB_NAME CHILD OF					
CITY CHILD OF					
STATE CHILD OF					
REGION)					
ATTRIBUTE DB_NAME DETERMINES					
(RMAN_HIST.HOSTNAME ,					
RMAN_HIST.MAX_DURATION,					
RMAN_HIST.AVG_DB_SIZE,					
RMAN_HIST.RMAN_BKP_MAXSIZE,					
RMAN_HIST,RMAN_BKP_MINSIZE,					
RMAN_HIST.BACKUP_TYPE,					
RMAN_HIST.MIN_DURATION);					
SOL>					

A user with the OLAP\_DBA privilege will best execute this statement. Also with the OLAP\_USER or appropriate systems privileges such as the CREATE ANY DIMENSION, CREATE DIMENSION.

#### 1 BEGIN

- 2 dbms\_olap.validate\_dimension(
- 3 dimension\_name => 'RMAN\_REC\_DIM',
- 4 dimension\_owner => 'ANTHONY' );

5\* END;

SQL> /

PL/SQL procedure successfully completed.

Exhibit 5A. Create Dimension Statement (OLAP SQL DDL)

Exhibit 5B. Dimension Validation with DBMS\_OLAP

# Oracle's BI Test Approach

Time Frame	Time Level	Typical Forecasting Horizon	Best Approach	Product Level	Other Dimension Levels
Short	Week, Biweek, or Month	Up to 18 months	Time Series	UPC, SKU, NDC, ISBN	Level of interest
Medium	Month or Quarter	6 to 36 months	Causal Analysis	Brand	Level of interest
Long	Quarter or higher	19 months to 5 years	Expert Opinion	Brand, Company, Market	Level of interest

Table 1. Oracle Corporation Vision to Forecasting Strategies

•





- SQL Writing Best Practices
- SQL Tuning
- Upgrading and Migrating SQL



## **Testing PL/SQL**

- Summary PL/SQL Writing Best Practices
  - Auditing
  - Maintain valid objects.
  - Test as your objects grow with requirements.
  - Entice team consistency and congruency with a version control paradigm.

#### PL/SQL Tuning

- Auditing
- Logging
- Tracing

### **Testing PL/SQL**

🖌 Progra	mmer's File Editor - [C:\dt\fINAL\adn\ddlNdml.sql *]
🗿 Eile 🛛 🖺	dit <u>O</u> ptions <u>T</u> emplate E <u>x</u> ecute <u>M</u> acro <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp
	> 🖬 🔀 🗢 🔍 😵 🖹 票 🎇 🛄 🖌 👯 🗂
110	CREATE OR REPLACE PACKAGE BODY pkg_tiers IS
111	PROCEDURE prcGetTiers(
112	te out timestamp
114	tierCur Aut IN AUT tierCur t
115	) IS
116	CURSOR tier_cur(cv_dept_id department.dept_id%TYPE) IS
117	SELECT tier_id
118	FROM employee
119	<pre>WHERE dept_id = cv_dept_id;</pre>
120	1_dept_id NUMBER;
121	BEGIN to AILT SUSTIMESTAMD.
122	l dent id := dent id in:
124	OPEN tierCur Out
125	FOR SELECT *
126	FROM tier
127	WHERE tier_id
128	IN (SELECT tier_id
129	FROM employee
130	WHEKE dept_1d = 1_dept_1d
131	/, EXCEPTION
133	WHEN ATHERS THEN
134	DBMS OUTPUT.put line(SOLERRM);
135	END;
136	PROCEDURE prcGetSecondSalary(
137	tier_id_in IN tier.tier_id%TYPE,
138	salary_out OUT employee.salary%TYPE
139	13 ( CHIPTODE maySalfur(cu tion id tion tion id9TUDE) IS
140	SELECT MAXSALGUT(CO_CLEF_LG CLEF_LG%TFE) IS
142	FROM employee
143	WHERE SALARY <
144	(SELECT MAX(SALARY)
145	FROM employee
146	
147	AND tler_ld = cv_tler_ld;
148	MAXSAINEC MAXSAIGUNGKUWIYPE; DECIN
149	OPEN maxSalCur(tier id in):
151	FETCH maxSalCur INTO maxSalRec:
152	CLOSE maxSalCur;

An IDE can help your test, but a simple text editor could be more practical in some cases, where unit testing and manual control are more valuable overall.

## **Testing Java**

#### Testing JSP

- Strive for an embedded SQL needs to be neat and bind parameters properly.
- Prefer stored procedures to dynamic SQL.

#### Testing Servlets

- Consider latency issues dealing with middleware architecture, network constraints, and HTML generation.
- Attain a reliable consistent servlet HTML rendering and load response time.

## **Testing Java**

#### Testing EJBs

- Establish different patterns for persistent and non-persistent EJBs as they map with other Oracle Java application models, for instance, BC4J.
  - Match Hibernate to persistent practices.

#### Testing CORBA and HOP-based Applications

- Automation can be easy or complex depending on the processes involved.
- Ensure that you can deal with IIOP networking issues at any time or have a network expert monitoring protocol issues.

#### **Using IDEs: JDeveloper, Eclipse, etc.**

## **Testing Java**



# **Testing Heterogeneous Services**

Microsoft Testing asp.net MSMQ Testing Messaging Gateways IBM Tibco **Others** 

# Testing Oracle XML

- Testing XML Schemas
- Working with Oracle XML DTDs
- Testing Oracle XML Supplied PL/SQL Packages
- Testing Oracle XML Java Supplied PL/SQL Package

Testing XML in Oracle XML DB projects could probably simplify integration in stages such as verification, validation, prior to full deployment in comparison to using versions of third party vendors. XML Parsing, embedding, and rendering are processes that require massive and consistent testing at each stage such that they are fully functional with the finalized deployed application.

## **Testing Embedded Code**

Pervasive Testing on independent devices

- Wireless Network Protocols
- Network devices
- General Embedded Testing using driver-level programming
- 4 Other embedded SQL using Oracle OCI
- Other embedded Testing using Berkeley DB.

<pre>/* File: gettingstarted_common.h */ finclude <db.h>  typedef struct stock_dbs {     DB *inventory_dbp; /* Database containing inventory information */     DB *vendor_dbp; /* Database containing vendor information */     char *db_home_dir; /* Directory containing the database files *     char *inventory_db_name; /* Name of the inventory database */     char *endor_db_name; /* Name of the vendor database */     ) STOCK_DBS; /* Function prototypes */ int databases_setup[STOCK_DBS *, const char *, FILE *); int databases[Ose(STOCK_DBS *); vid initialize_stockabs(STOCK_DBS *); int open_database(DB **, const char *, const char *, </db.h></pre>	<pre>#lnclude <db_cxx.h> Db db(NULL, 0); // Instantists the Db object u_int22_t oFlags = DB_CREATE; // Open flags; try (     // Open the database     db.open(NULL, // Transaction pointer     "my (db.db", // Database flie name     WULL, // Optional logical database name     DB_BFMEB, // Database seccess method     offlags, // Database seccess method     offlags, // Database seccess method     offlags, // Distabase seccess method     offlags</db_cxx.h></pre>	<pre>package db.GettingStarted; import com.sleepycat.db.DatabaseException; import com.sleepycat.db.Database() import java.is.FileStFaundException;  Database myDatabase = null;  try { // Open the database. Create it if it does not already . DatabaseConfig dbConfig = new DatabaseConfig(); dbConfig.sctllowCrete(rtue); myDatabase = new Database(); mill, dbConfig.stllowCrete(rtue); dbConfig.stllowCrete(stue); dbConfig.stllowCrete(stue); dbConfig); } catch (DatabaseException die) { // Twoeption bandling goes here } catch (DiedotabaseException die) { // Twoeption bandling goes here } catch (DiedotabaseException died) { // Twoeption bandling goes here } } } } } } } } } } } } } }</pre>
FILE *); void set db filenenes/SPCCE DBB *mv stock): Testing database Opening with C	Testing database Opening with C++	// Exception hendling goes here Testing database Opening with Java



### **Data Warehouse Testing**

Extract Transform Load Testing **Utilities** Manual Custom <u>Tools and Functionality</u> **Oracle Warehouse Builder** Informatica, Microstrategy, Others. Outcome Congruency



### **Unified Perspective**

SQL Functions and API **Generic SQL DML** OLAP DML API Functions Statements J2EE XML **4** SOA

### Oracle11g Real Application Testing (RAT) Blueprint

#### Applying Database Replay

Allows to comfortably capture actual production workloads at the database level and replay them on your test system environment.

Provide complete testing on the impact of system changes is then possible, including critical concurrency characterization.

### Oracle11g Real Application Testing (RAT) Blueprint

- SQL Performance Analyzer consistently identifies:
  - Structured query language (SQL)
  - Execution plan changes
  - Performance regressions
  - Problems can then be fixed using SQL.
- **4** Tuning Advisor either:
  - Reverts to the original execution plans or
  - Performs and attains further tuning.



The Project Manager should focus on team collaboration to overcome the overall convergence of IT resources. Excessive lack of control on convergence could lead to data and network grid disruption whose outcome could be poor reliability and availability.

## **Testing to attain Compliance**

#### **HIPAA** Privacy Security Sarbannes-Oxley (SOX) **Rules and regulations Financial data consistency Protocol Settlement Verification and Validation** MasterCard Privacy Act Privacy



## **Concluding Remarks**

- Testing Oracle IS NOT easy, but rather a complex process at any stage. Good planning could lead to simplified solutions.
- Success is likewise a systematic process: If you may mistakes in the beginning you are likely to pay for the cost of those errors later on.
- Planning well with a flexible timeline and a cost model could allow you to do your utmost in any project and work around any constraints to reach milestones timely.

### **Concluding Remarks**

# Testing is complex Success is systematic Planning well is good!